

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Paper No. 9

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

COPY MAILED

SEP 1 9 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Shibuya, et al.

Application No. 09/824,991

Filed: April 2, 2001

PETITION

DECISION ON

Attorney Docket No. 16869P023000

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR §1.137(b), June 9, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is dismissed.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition" under 37 CFR 1.137(b)."

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply to the non-final Office action mailed October 2, 2003 which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months from its mailing date. No response was received within the allowable period, and the application became abandoned on January 3, 2004.

Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a non-provisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee, or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.
 - (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and
 - (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c).

The instant petition does not satisfy the requirements of item (1) above.

As to item (1), a proper reply to the non-final Office action was not received. This reply may include a continuing application or an amendment. The renewed petition be accompanied by a proper reply to the non-final Office action.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petitions

Commissioner for Patents

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile:

(571) 273-8300

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3222.

Kenya W. McLaughlin

Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions